

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,466 07/23/2003		Virginia L. Harwell	HAR001-053	7432	
7590 03/14/2005			EXAMINER		
DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC			PAIK, SANG YEOP		
#301 12471 Dillingham Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Woodbridge, VA 22192			3742		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

					/			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,46	36	HARWELL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Sang Y Pa	_	3742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three p	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the stat od will apply and w tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this commun  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	R December 2	004					
2a)⊠	<u> </u>							
3)	<i>,</i> —			rosecution as to the mer	rits is			
,—	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) <u>5-7 and 21</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-12 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>13-15</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
_	•	iner						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		-					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		-		121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		-	7 7			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion Noved in this National Stag	je			
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic			4) Theories Commence	w (PTO 412)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	1			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,466

Art Unit: 3742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welle (US 4,786,799) in view of Ueda (US 4,410,795).

Well shows a cooking appliance such as a cooktop with heating elements arranged thereon with a controller for establishing desired heating power for the heating elements and including a LED digital display. But Welle does not show that the controller has the recited control element for establishing the first and second schemes.

Ueda shows a cooking appliance having a controller with the control elements having a plurality of consecutive numeric digits having odd and even numbers each indicating its associated power level and a digital display. Ueda shows that a first and second setting schemes where an initial power can be established with the even and odd numbers to operate at a predetermined time period and to automatically switch to another selected power level which may be either lower or higher power level than the initial power level after the termination of the predetermined time period set with the initial power. Ueda further shows the stages of the first and second setting schemes by the stage indicator lights.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,466

Art Unit: 3742

In view of Ueda, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Well with the programmable control elements to input the desired power levels to automatically implement the cooking process which requires varying heating temperature and time.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welle in view of Ueda as applied to claims 1-4, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 16-20 above, and further in view of Barnes et al (US 6,255,630).

Welle in view of Ueda shows the appliance claimed except the alpha-format display.

Barnes et al show an alpha-format display to show the cooking stages of the device. In view of Barnes et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Welle, as modified by Ueda, with an alpha-format display to indicate the cooking stages in an alpha-format for a more aesthetic and easier ways to indicate the cooking stages.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5-7 and 21 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that since Ueda shows its heating control scheme in microwave ovens or electrical ovens, it would not have been obvious to combine such teaching with the cooktop devices as shown in the Wells reference. While Ueda does not show using its

Art Unit: 3742

applications in the cooktop appliances, the examiner maintains the position that since they are in the same field of endeavor which is in the field of electrical heating devices further having the power control means to achieve the desired cooking process. Since they are deemed to be in the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to look the benefits of the teachings in Ueda and apply and modify the cooking device of Welle's. The applicant argues that since there are no other cooktops incorporating such teachings of Ueda since its issue, there are even less motivations to combine, and further argues it would not have been obvious to incorporate such teachings in a cooktop having a plurality of heating elements. While there may not have been cooktops incorporating such teachings of Ueda, the lack of such cooktops in the industry does not counter or teach away the obviousness to combine.

With respect to claim 11, the applicant argues that the means-plus-function should be interpreted under the 112 sixth paragraph and be read in light of the specification. The applicant points out that such means include a simple control element such as a rotary knob or like. However, it is also noted that the control means also include the controller such as the CPU that enables establishing the claimed first and second settings. Since such means is performed by the CPU controller, it is reasonably for the examiner to hold the micro-computer processor of the Ueda to meet the recited means that also performs the power setting schemes. Also it is noted that Ueda also uses a rotary knob as a part of the overall control unit that control the power setting which would meet the mean-plus function recitation.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/624,466

Art Unit: 3742

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Y Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

22\_